

| | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/736,608 | | MAEHARA, FUYUKI | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Hal I. Kaplan | | 2836 | |

All Participants:

(1) Hal I. Kaplan.

(2) Joel Armstrong.

Date of Interview: 6 September 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1, 5, and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Claims 1, 3, and 5-8 allowed.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:45 p.m.

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant confirmed that claims 1, 5, and 7 correctly claimed the average value calculation method and there were no informalities.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 8000

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)